

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

## BOOK REVIEWS AND NOTES.

The First Grammar of the Language Spoken by the Bontoc Igorot. With a Vocabulary and Texts, Mythology, Folklore, Historical Episodes, Songs. By Dr. Carl Wilhelm Seidenadel. Chicago: Open Court Pub. Co., 1910. Pages i-xxiv; 1-583. 12 full page illustrations; Addenda Corrigenda: pp. 587-588.

This monumental work is divided into three parts as follows: Part I, pp. 1-270, Grammar; Part II, pp. 275-475, Vocabulary; Part III, pp. 481-583, Texts. The material was obtained by the author personally from various members of the Bontoc Igorot groups who were on exhibition in Chicago in 1906-1907. These people, who come from the interior of N. Luzon, one of the Phillipine Islands, speak a language whose intricacies and general character it has been reserved for Dr. Seidenadel to present to the scientific world. To state that his task has been well done would be far too meagre a modicum of praise for this painstaking and thorough philological enthusiast who has left no stone unturned in order to make clear, even to his lay readers, the peculiarities of the particular Malayo-Polynesian dialect which he has, we may well say, discovered. He has, however, made little or no attempt to connect the Bontoc Igorot, nor to formulate its relationship, with its sister Austronesian idioms. It will be sufficient in this recension to note some of the main features of the Bontoc-Igorot, as presented by Seidenadel and to comment upon them, so far as the writer of this review feels himself competent to do, from a general philological point of view.

With regard to the phonetics of the dialect, the consonantal interchanges: f-b; p-b; k-g; t-d; dj-d, noted, p. 5, are all common to the Malayo-Polynesian group (see especially the Comparative Table in this review).

The glottal check (p. 9), probably identical in sound with the Arabic 'Ayin, is not indicated by Prof. P. W. Schmidt (Die Mon-Khmer Völker, Archiv für Anthrop., XXXIII, pp. 84-85), but it may be equivalent to the guttural kh of some of the Austronesian and Indonesian dialects. A further study of Filipino and kindred idioms might perhaps throw additional light on this point.

The vowel written by Seidenadel a, a fluctuation between o and a, is clearly allied to Schmidt's a, a fluctuation between  $\ddot{a}$  and a (p. 85). I represent this in the following table by  $\ddot{o}$ .

The elements of the Bontoc-Igorot articles nan, san, si, tja all appear in other MP. idioms, as in the Malay indefinite sa, Formosan Amia chi, etc. (see below Table s. "One"). I call especial attention to Seidenadel's chapter

on the B. I. ligatures (pp. 14-16), which constitute a system of phonetic copula.

The B. I. substantive, as in all the other MP. idioms, occasionally partially reduplicates for the plural (p. 17). Furthermore, the B. I., like its sister Austronesian tongues, forms its substantive by means of prefixes, infixes, reduplication of the stem, and suffixes (pp. 18 ff.), hereby demonstrating its Austronesian character, as distinct from the Mon-Khmer tongues, described by Schmidt (op. cit.). These last mentioned languages, spoken on the Assam Peninsula, Schmidt has shown to be a connecting link between the people of Central Asia and Austronesia. He demonstrates, for example, by exhaustive comparisons (op. cit., pp. 83 ff.), that the roots are essentially the same on the continent and islands and that the chief and fundamental difference between the Austronesian languages and the Indo-Assamese representatives of this group lies in the fact, that the Austronesian tongues seldom use the simple stem as a word, but almost always employ prefixes and infixes, while, in the Indo-Assamese idioms of this family, particularly in the Nikobar and Mon-Khmer, the stem frequently appears as an independent word. Whether the pure root-forms are the original, or whether they constitute a degradation of an older form with additions to the root, it is, as yet, impossible to predicate. Personally, the writer of the present review is inclined to the opinion that the more complicated forms are always the original, or at least are older than the simpler forms, since primitive man probably spoke articulate language, before he was able mentally to arrange an orderly system of grammatical speech. There can be no doubt, however, of the connection between the Malayo-Polynesian group, more especially its Austronesian branch, and the Mon-Khmer, which Schmidt compares with the Nikobar, Santali, Khasi, Bahnar and Stieng dialects.

Bontoc-Igorot has a system of possessive suffixes both for nouns (pp. 34 ff) and verbs (pp. 54 ff), a remnant of which probably original common MP. peculiarity, remains in the simplified Malay: rumah-ku, rumah-mu, rumah-nya, "my, thy, his (her, its) house," respectively. In fact, the distinction between the noun (adjective) and the verb in B. I., as in its sister idioms, is not really made, any more than is the case in other primitive speech-types (cf. my papers on the Eastern Algonquin languages in the Amer. Anthropologist, and note Seidenadel's remarks, pp. 51 ff.). The noun-adjective or verb in B. I. is a vocable composed of a stem with a prefix, infix or suffix. The B. I. possessive verb (pp. 67 ff.), which is a participialized verbal root with a possessive suffix, or addition, is an excellent illustration of this fact. Here should be noted the existence of an inclusive and exclusive first person plural suffix in B. I., peculiar to other MP. tongues, as well as to certain American idioms (as Algonquin). Of course, in American idioms pronominal incorporation takes place almost invariably by means of prefixation, infixation and suffixation, all of which phenomena do not appear in Malayo-Polynesian.

It will be observed that B. I. actually conjugates its verb according to a complicated system, altering the root materially for the suffix (pp. 74 ff.), as  $\forall kaeb$ , "make," but  $k\acute{a}pek$ , "I make." This seems also to be the case in the Formosan native Austronesian dialects; cf. Paiwan vaik, "I go" (cf. Table, s. "go").

Dr. Seidenadel's chapters on prefixation (pp. 109-117) and on the modi-

fying auxiliary (pp. 117-134) are most illuminating. He treats exhaustively the B. I. complex system of modifying verbs (pp. 134-138); negatives (pp. 138-148); the equivalents for relative clauses, expressed usually by participial periphrases, as in other agglutinative languages (pp. 149-158); the indirect question (pp. 177-179); the method of expressing "to be" and the copula (pp. 179-186); "to have" (pp. 187-189); numerals (pp. 189-195); prepositions (pp. 196-222); adverbial expression (pp. 222-232, 233-241); conjunctions (pp. 242-257); conditional sentences (pp. 257-266) and interjections (pp. 267 ff). I cite all these instances, in order to demonstrate how very thoroughly he has done his work.

In connection with his Vocabulary, Part II, pp. 275-475, he very properly warns the student on no account to attempt to use his word-list until the preceding grammatical sections are mastered. It is, however, permissible, I think, for me to attempt to point out by means of the following Comparative Table between B. I. and six other MP. languages, the probable position of Bontoc-Igorot in the Austronesian speech-group. The Formosan material (Paiwan, Tipun, Amia) I have taken from G. Taylor's list which was originally intended to supplement his Rambles in Southern Formosa, but which was not published in that work, but later in the China Review, XVII, pp. 109-111. This Formosan material is probably approximately correct, owing to its evidently cognate character with the Austronesian languages, Malay, Javanese and the Filipino Tagalog.

	вг.	TAG.	JAV.	P.	T.	AM.	MAL.
Ant	kûyim kûsiml			sàsek		kakunak	
Ashes	tjapo2	saging		sàke	nasok	sàke	
Banana	fálad			velivel	velivel	poule	
Bird (see Fowl)	ayáyam )			kaiakaiam	kaia <b>m</b>	aiam	
Black	ngilid8	niok		kutsingel		koataengai	
Blood	djála	aro		diamok	thzára4		darak
Body	awak	pakpak	awah		rarik?		
Bone	tönga		balong		toelang		tulung
Bow	bandolay (Ilocano)		panah			pana	panah
Butterfly	akákob4	dugo	kupu				kupukupu
Cat	<i>kôsha</i> 5 (loan word)	katouan	kuching	nau	nauw	pushi	kuching
Child	ának		anak		iliálak		anak
Cocoanut	inyug (niyog)					avinong	
Cold	lateng			lialákat			
Come	umáliak		marein				mari
	paallek					paia	

<sup>1</sup> Owing to typographical difficulties I have been unable to indicate any Bontoc-Igorot quantities in the comparative table. P., T. and A. = Paiwan, Tipun and Amia,

<sup>&</sup>quot;Ant"= $k\dot{u}yim$ ; the root ku appears in B.-I. and Amia.  $K\dot{u}sim$ , B.-I. has the root s in B.-I. and P.  $s\dot{a}sek$ .

<sup>2</sup> Tjapo. Note here the variations tj=s (Tag., P., and Am.) with metathetic nasok, in T.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Ngitid; ng common to P. and Am. with metathetic niok in Tag. A similar metathesis is seen in B.-I. Akákob; kob=kup in J. and Mal., but dugo in Tag.

<sup>4</sup> Djála. Note the variations dj=T. thz=P. di (palatalization) and Mal. d in darah.

<sup>5</sup> All foreign words. Note P. and T. nau, nauw=Chinese mau 'cat'.

	вІ.	TAG.	JAV.	P.	т.	AM.	MAL.
Day	ákyu6			kadow			hari
Deer	ógsa		rusa				rusa
Dog	ásö7		asu	vatu	suan	atsu	
Door	pánguan8		lawang				
Ear	kôweng9			tsalinga	tangera	tangila	telinga
Egg	étlog		undok	katchilo	utinun	vitaul	
Eight	wálo	valo	wola	valu	valu	varo	delapan
Eleven	(sinpslo ya isa	lab <b>ing</b> isa	sivala <b>s</b>	tapulo ita	tapulo ita	(savou chitsai	(sapulo satu
Eye	matá	butu	moto	matsa	mata	mata	mata
Father	áma			ama	ama	ina	
Fire	apuy			sapoe	apoe		api
Fish	ikan			chikao			ikan
Five (see Hand)	lima	lima	lima	lima	lima	lima	lima
Flower	fénga			toalingin9			bunga
Foot	tjapán	pa10		karopupan		saripat	
Four	ipát	apat	papat	sipat	sipat	sipat	ampat
Fowl (see Bird)	ayáyam mónok (chicken)	momok		kaiakaiam	kaiam	aia <b>m</b>	
Go	ûmüyak			vaik			
Good	kawis11					ngáai	
Hand (see Five)	<i>lima</i> 12 )			lima	lima		
Hard	inkötsö	malakas	kras	kutseol			krass
Head	<i>810</i> 18	ulo		kuro			kapala
	ténged (back of the head)				tanguru13		
Hog	fútug			va <b>vui</b>	vavui	vavui	babui
Honey (see Water ='water or the bee'.				tsaenan (water?)			
Hundred	sin lashôt					simoeout	
Husband	(asá öwa ay laláki	(assoua lailaikai	assoua				
Large	tsaktsáki		gedel4	katsa		takai	
Leaf	t6fo15	dahun					daun
Little	akit		chili	kidi	makiting		kitchil
Louse	kôto16	kutu	kutu	kutso	kuto	kutu	kutu

<sup>6</sup> Akyu seems metathetically connected with P. kadow. The Mal. hari is, no doubt, the same root: h=k and d=r(?).

<sup>7</sup> The element su(tu) appears to mean 'dog'; cf. also Mal. and j-ing clearly the same stem, by metathesis andj=ndja=su(tu).

<sup>8</sup> Common stem ang.

<sup>9</sup> Common stem ng.

<sup>10</sup> There is no connection between these pa-stems and the Hind. pa'on 'foot'.

<sup>11</sup> Stem ka=nga.

<sup>12</sup> Three out of the seven languages here compared regard the hand as a bunch of five (fingers).

<sup>18</sup> Olo, ulo=k-uro, kap-ala. B.-I. tenged=tan in T. tanguru.

<sup>14</sup> Note J. ged-e P. k-t=metathetically B.-I. ts-k, Am. t-k.

<sup>18</sup> B.-I.  $t\delta fo=J$ . dahun; i. e., t=d and B.-I. f=h (dahun), seen also between Hawaian wahini and Samoan: fafini 'woman'. In Mal. daun, the aspirate has disappeared.

<sup>16</sup> Koto: stem to (s'); cf. Santali: se 'louse' and Mon-Khmer: chai: Bantar: si, Khasi: ksi, the latter with the k-Anlaut, as in the forms given above; (cf. Prof. P. W. Schmidt, Archiv tür Anthrop., XXXIII. p. 97).

	вг.	TAG.	JAV.	P.	T.	AM.	MAL.
Male	laláki			okadilai			
Man	laláki	laláki		okadilai			lakilaki
Mosquito	kömaæ		nyamok17				nyamok
Mother	ina	ina	mbo18	kina	ina	ina	ma18
Nail (hand or foot)	kôko		kuku				kuku
Nine	siam	siam	sanga	siva	siva	siwa	sambilan
Nose	ileng	ilong	idong				idone
Oil (Cocoanut	lána	longis	lungo	liaoliao	liaoliao	liaoliao	
One	isa	isa	sa	ita	ita	chitsai 19	satul9
Pig (see Hog	)				•••	0,525,0152,00	34.4-0
Rain	ötjan	ulan20	hudam	kumudjel	kumudjel	ural	hudjan
Rat	Stot					itu	74 Hally 12 72
River	wánga			pana21			
Road	djálan	dam	malaku	diaran	raran	lalan	jalan
Saliva	töbfa					supa22	Julian
Salt	asin	as <b>sin</b>				china	
Seven	pito	pito	pitu	pita	pita	pito	tujoh
Silver	bilak	pilak	perak	•	peso	peso	perak
Six	inim	anim	nanam	unum	unum	unum	anam
Skin (of buffalo, etc.)	kôtjil	balat	kulit28	kalits		<i></i>	kulit
Smoke	tjublåek			tsuvuil		atsuvuil	
Sour	impakashti- eng	•	asam24	***************************************	hasim	atchichem	masam
Sun (see Day)	áky <b>u</b>			kadow	kadow		
Ten	pôlo	sampo	pulah	pulo	pulo	pulo	sapulo
Thirty	tolón pô'o	(tallo ampo	talupulah	tulupulo	tulupulo	tulupulo	tigapulo
Thousand	Ufo	isanlibo					
Three	tôlo	tallo	talu	tulu	tulu	tolu	tiga
Tongue	djila	dila	ilat <b>2</b> 3		lidan23		lidah
Twelve	(sin pôlo ya djůa	(labi <b>n</b> g deloua	rolas	tapulo nusa	tapulo nusa	(tusa kotsavou	sapulodua
Twenty	djuan ps'o	diouaampo	rongpuluh	nusapulo	nusapulo	tusapulo	duapulo
Two	djůa	diloua	loro	nusa	nusa	tusa	dua
Water	tjenum <b>2</b> 5		bany <b>u</b>	lalium	ranu	nanum	
Woman26	fafáyi	baibai		vavaie <b>n</b>	vavaien	vavaheia <b>n</b>	<i>bini</i> (wife)
771	e 11 ·			4			

The following significant fact then becomes at once apparent. From the eighty of Seidenadel's Bontoc-Igorot words compared and

- 17 Metathesis between B.-I.: kömaaö and J: nyamok.
- 18 Note the variant m in J. and Malay.
- 19 Amia and Malay have the demonstrative elements resp. chi and sa before the stem s=t=ts.
  - 20 The changes l=d=dj=r are common in the MP. languages.
- 21 Paiwan: pana is the same word as B.-I. wanga. There is no connection with Hind pani 'water'.
  - 22 Am. supa clearly contains all the elements of B.-I. töbfa.
  - 28 Note the metathesis: tjil=lit, lits.
- 14 The common stem-elements seem to be sibilant + nasal (m, ng); viz., B.-I.: shueng=sam=sim=chem.
  - 25 The stem denoting 'water' seems to be n (ly, ny) u (m).
- 26 The stem fa appears also in B.-I.: fa/i si ongonga 'womb'. This stem a clearly=P., T., Am., va: also Tag. and Mal. b. Note also Hawaian: wahini: Samoan: fafini 'woman'

discussed herein, it appears that the three Formosan dialects above mentioned preponderate in resemblance to B. I. over Tagalog, Javanese and Malay, there being a hundred and eighteen resemblances to B. I. in Paiwan, Tipun and Amia, as opposed to eighty-four in Tagalog, Javanese and Malay. The following small table will illustrate the number of close and fairly close resemblances to B. I. of the six MP. languages compared in the Comparative Table:

TAG.	JAV.	PAIWAN	TIPUN	AMIA	MALAY	
18	17	21	22	22	16	Close
10	13	23	14	16	10	Fairly Close

I am not prepared to state what conclusion should be drawn from such a phenomenon. Formosa was probably populated originally both from the Chinese side and from the East. It seems possible that the eastern colonists were of an Austronesian substock not far removed from that of the Igorots. A subsequent investigation of other Igorot dialects might throw a valuable light on this subject, and it is to be hoped that Dr. Seidenadel will be able to prosecute his labors still further in this direction.

Dr. Seidenadel's third part (pp. 481-583) consists of texts, all new and valuable from the point of view of folk-lore and linguistics. One could wish that he had also collected the melodies to a few songs, as an illustration of this remarkable people's musical development.

This work stands forth as a noteworthy contribution to the still involved science of the Malayo-Polynesian languages, and Seidenadel's labors cannot be overlooked by any conscientious specialist in this group. What the author's English style here and there lacks (as, for example, p. 277) is amply compensated for by the thorough erudition he has displayed in handling an absolutely new material, collected most expertly by himself.

John Dyneley Prince, Ph. D.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK CITY.

TILL DET ANDLIGA LIFVETS FILOSOFI. By Allen Vannérus. Stockholm: A. Bonnier.

This work, the latest of a long series of philosophical works by this writer, contains in its preface a criticism of contemporary Swedish philosophy, which the author finds lacking in actuality and life, with "no spiritual energy, no fermenting ideas, no problems under debate, no criticism, nothing actuated by a strong will, much less anything that is struggling forward with spontaneous force." There is no encouragement for philosophical research in Sweden. When not long ago the Rector of the University of Stockholm gave out a statement of the needs of the institution, he did not even mention philosophy, though that subject has no representative on the faculty of the university. "Statistics and other such blessings must come first. This is very natural and consistent. We live in the age of social utilitarianism. 'Social' has a religious meaning. Little houses and gardens where one may go out and dig, that is something holy. (Of course, I do not criticize, I only state facts.) Here we stand before a revolution in the appraisement of material and spiritual values to which there are few counterparts in the world's history. But wait. Philosophie muss sein. It is a necessary part of higher spiritual culture." The author feels the need of a philosophical renaissance in Sweden, of a regen-